Circuit Court for City of Richmond, Virginia

ANDREW U. D. STRAW,	
Plaintiff,)	Case No: <u>CL24003538-00</u>
v.)	
BARBARA LANIER (VSB CLERK),	JURY TRIAL REQUESTED
VIRGINIA STATE BAR, &	
STATE OF INDIANA,	Hon
Defendants.	Judge Presiding

MOTION FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

I, Andrew U. D. Straw, *plaintiff* in this action, MOVE as follows:

FACTS & LAW

- 1. NB: Informal Online Docket: http://vb.andrewstraw.com
- 2. On information and belief, this Court is now considering the motions that were pending when this case was transferred to this Court in 2024 from Virginia Beach Circuit Court. This is on my understanding being done on the papers due to my extreme distance from the Court, 12 time zones away in Occidental Mindoro, The Philippines. And the fact that during the rainy season, now, both Internet and electric service are not reliable here.

- 3. I have asked the Court in deciding on the motions to consider Indiana's actions and whether I may pursue an ADA claim.
- 4. In making that determination, I wish to provide more evidence of ADA Title II violations by Indiana.
- 5. When a state fails to communicate for extremely long periods of time with a disabled person asking for services, this amounts to "deliberate indifference" under the ADA. I am making a similar "failure to communicate" ADA Title II "deliberate indifference" claim in federal court against the State of Maine. See: Straw v. State of Maine Vocational Rehabilitation, 1:25-cv-00350-JAW-KFW (D. Me.)
- 6. There is an <u>obligation to communicate</u>; otherwise, <u>no services can</u> even be considered much less granted.
- 7. I paid my court costs in my discipline case even though the entire matter was bogus and VSB agreed with me, refusing to impose it reciprocally. See, Straw v. LinkedIn, 5:22-cv-7718-EJD (N.D. Cal. 2023), Dkt. 22-21:

https://www.courtlistener.com/docket/66619947/22/21/straw-v-linkedin-corp/

- 8. A national property rights expert agreed with me several times, both under oath and to help me get a scholarship. See: Straw v. LinkedIn, 5:22-cv-7718-EJD (N.D. Cal. 2023), Dkt. 22-22: https://www.courtlistener.com/docket/66619947/22/22/straw-v-linkedin-corp/
- 9. See: http://bernacchi.andrewstraw.com
- 10. I attach as **Exhibit 1** the case docket in that Indiana Supreme Court suspension case as of 7/9/202. <u>In re Straw</u>, 68 N.E.3d 1070 (Ind. 2/14/2017). It is obvious that no ORDER has been issued since 8/28/2017, AFTER the 180-day suspension was allegedly *over*. My disability rights arguments were not addressed even one time with so very many docket entries asking for the Indiana Supreme Court's relief from the suspension, *inter alia*, which are services.
- 11. Nonfeasance for a full 8 years as of August 28, 2025, is the ADA Title II problem I want this Court to notice.
- 12. Most federal circuits recognize that <u>deliberate indifference</u> to communication with disabled people violates the ADA because when no communication or response happens, that person is excluded from the service that could have been granted. Not just

excluded from communication, but from every single service that state entity <u>could have provided</u>.

- 13. Here, that includes \$400,000 for the failure of Indiana to compensate my car accident injuries serving the Chief Justice of Indiana and every court in the state. It includes the value of my state and federal law licenses, as Mr. Bernacchi pegged at \$5 million. Straw v. LinkedIn, **Dkt. 22-22**.
- 14. Here, that includes removing the suspension, as was in the power of the Indiana Supreme Court to do over the past 8 years since the suspension was imposed on Valentine's Day, **February 14**, **2017**.
- 15. No communication means no services will be rendered at all, and in this case, that means the court is shut off to me unless I pay the \$500 clerk fee, which acts as a paywall higher than the \$419.40 actual costs, which I have already paid. See, Exhibit 1 at bottom.

WHEREFORE, I move the Court to judicially notice Exhibit 1 and how Indiana has failed to provide me with services, putting a \$500 pay wall between me and ADA relief. Please note from the docket entries that many of the petitions and motions and notices and affidavits I presented

over the past 8 years in that suspension case were based on **disability on** their face. Even the titles of the docket entries show this. No paywall should have kept me from getting those filings actioned. The Indiana Supreme Court's \$500 paywall is a higher cost than initiating a whole new civil lawsuit in that state, which costs \$157. Thus, to vindicate my ADA Title II rights, I have to pay more than 3x the cost of filing a whole new civil case after I paid the costs. This \$500 paywall that keeps the Indiana Supreme Court from listening to me and responding to my true and correct filings under oath represents disability discrimination when applied to me: "deliberate indifference" under ADA Title II. This notice should inform the Court with factual docket information provided by the defendant online that Indiana be found can on https://public.courts.in.gov/mycase/ for free on the Internet by anyone. This may be helpful in deciding whether I should be allowed to amend my complaint with regard to Indiana to add ADA Title II claims. Given how the 7th Circuit has violated me, as I documented at the U.S. Supreme (www.supremecourt.gov), with my unopposed petition for certiorari in docket 17-8005, there are no state or federal courts that can provide me with justice in Indiana or the entire 7th Circuit area. The 7th

Circuit currently blocks my ability to make any filing in federal court in its area because I objected to that Court HIRING MY APPELLEE. See, Straw v. Indiana Supreme Court, et. al., 17-1338 (7th Cir. 2017), Dkts. 79, 80, 81, 82. This huge ethical problem and blockage in the judicial branch is front and center in my 17-8005 U.S. Supreme Court petition that was denied for no reason given. Jurisdiction to enforce the ADA, Title II, is by necessity somewhere outside of that Midwest silo. The way VSB has ridiculed the "drive-by shooting" that is Indiana's attack on me (Straw v. LinkedIn, Dkt. 22-21) and the 8 years of failure to communicate, amounting to deliberate indifference, I should be allowed to pursue my ADA Title II relief in this state court against Indiana if the complaint before the Court is not currently adequate. Please take notice of the docket and what it represents. **Exhibit 1**.

I verify on penalty of perjury that the above statements are true and correct or matters of opinion and my viewpoints. Dated: July 10, 2025.

Respectfully,

s/ Andrew U. D. Straw

9169 W STATE ST #690

her El. D. stear

Garden City, ID 83714

(847) 807-5237

andrew@andrewstraw.com

www.andrewstraw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Andrew U. D. Straw, hereby certify that on **July 10, 2025**, the foregoing MOTION FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE with 1 EXHIBIT was filed via U.S. Mail with the Court. I also mailed this MOTION and EXHIBIT to opposing counsel via U.S. Mail on the same date and also sent it by email at the following addresses:

Counsel of record:

VSB DEFENDANTS

Erin R. McNeill (VSB # 78816) Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 202 North 9th Street Richmond, VA 23219 Phone: (804) 692-0598

encneill@oag.state.va.us

Fax: (276) 628-4375

COURT CONTACT INFO

Clerk of Circuit Court City of Richmond John Marshall Courts Building 400 North 9th Street Richmond, VA 23219 Civil Section: (804) 646-6536

Fax: (804) 646-7274

INDIANA DEFENDANT

Mikhail D. Charnoff (VSB # 43929) Zachary Boron (VSB # 95352) Charnoff Simpson PLLC 111 Church Street NW Suite 202A Vienna, VA 22180 mike@simpsoncharnoff.com zach@simpsoncharnoff.com

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Andrew U. D. Straw (formerly VSB # 43651)

9169 W STATE ST #690

ender El. D. It

Garden City, ID 83714

(847) 807-5237

andrew@andrewstraw.com

www.andrewstraw.com

IN THE Circuit Court for City of Richmond, Virginia

ANDREW U. D. STRAW, Plaintiff, v.	Case No: <u>CL24003538-00</u>
BARBARA LANIER (VSB CLERK),) VIRGINIA STATE BAR, & STATE OF INDIANA, Defendants.	JURY TRIAL REQUESTED Hon. Judge Presiding
The Court takes Andrew U. D. Stra	RDER aw's motion for judicial notice of official de docket for his suspension case in
Indiana, provided by the defendant service, under advisement for all p	at's https://public.courts.in.gov/mycase/ ourposes.
DATE	HON.